

# MAIN LINE TIMES

Thursday, April 12, 2012

(Page 6)

Page 6

MAIN LINE TIMES@mainlinetimes.com

Thursday, April 12, 2012

## LM planners move on O'Neill plan, City Ave.

By Cheryl Allison  
callison@mainlinetimes.com

Two of the longest-running land-use decisions in Lower Merion are nearing the end of their multi-year journey, and this week the township's planning and development panel for the O'Neill Properties Group's proposed 380-unit apartment complex on the Schuylkill waterfront, and on ordinances related to City Avenue rezoning.

On the first matter, planners learned that a settlement agreement had been reached on the proposed riverfront project is "much further along than anything we've seen yet," according to township staff. While clearly signaling that they wished the much-improved plan could be even better, they voted 4-1 to recommend approval.

Co-chairman Robert Gray and members Charles Howland, Tony Vale and Mark Vitale voted in favor. Member Brian O'Leary cast the "no." Another co-chairman, Alan Reinhardt, and member Brian Hirsch were absent.

With the City Avenue ordinances headed to public hearing April 24 the five members present voted unanimously to recommend approval. In a post-by-email note to the pro-rezoning elements adopted last December, the planners in almost all instances opted for the less restrictive versions, where alternative language has been proposed.

In nearly all of those instances, that means they have rejected the stricter amendments sought by the Neighborhood Club of Bala Cynwyd.

No representative of the civic club was present at the time of the vote. Ellen Wertheimer, speaking for the civic during a public-comment period earlier, had told the commission it could support the zoning ordinance with several amendments that are "important" to it.

### Riverfront plan

The O'Neill Properties Group's plan for the former Connally Container parcel at 600 S. Riverfront Blvd. in Bala Cynwyd has been the subject of numerous discussions since December. That is when a settlement agreement on conditional-use approval for the new multi-family residential complex was first floated. On a parallel track, O'Neill has been seeking approval of a preliminary plan that could finally move the project to construction.

Both have been bogged down while another player, Penn Realty Group, which came along to see if the O'Neill land has pushed to be included in a three-party settlement with the township and O'Neill. Planning staff, meanwhile, have sought to resolve remaining issues of the preliminary plan.

On Monday night, Assistant Building and Planning Director Chris Lessing said the township last Friday got a draft three-party agreement that seems very close to being mutually agreeable. The developer's main issue have been narrowed to two, one involving how O'Neill would meet a requirement for plaza areas for the use of apartment residents, and the other how the developer would fulfill a commitment to provide recreation areas on site or pay an in-lieu fee.

A crucial issue until recently had been the ultimate parking ratio for the apartment complex. Last month, the board of commissioners indicated its preference to allow a ratio of 1.25 spaces while holding some spaces in reserve. With that direction, Lessing said some more space on the tightly planned parcel was found for the plazas. That in turn allowed him to calculate how much of the recreation requirement has been met.

O'Neill attorney Marc Kaplan indicated his client still takes a different view on the recreation fee, which he intends to pursue with the board of commissioners. By Lessing's calculations, the developer would still owe a fee of \$570,000. Kaplan disputes those calculations and offered an alternative. He suggested the township could condemn a small parcel between the western boundary of the O'Neill property and a riverbank trail. The developer might someday link to a riverbank trail. O'Neill could cover the costs at considerably less than the recreation requirement, he suggested.

For the planning commission to move forward, however, the recreation fee will still be set, and Gray suggested that the preliminary plan was in a form that he was "comfortable" advancing to the board. He and other members were open with their wishes that the developer's plan could include more green and open space. "The density of this project is the whole problem," and is driving a number of compromises, Vale commented. The answer to that, he said, was "to make it more compact."

It was unclear when the next step would come. The preliminary plan was not on the agenda for a Building and Planning Committee meeting Wednesday night, and any settlement agreement would be discussed at a meeting of the Legal Affairs Committee. At press time, no such meeting had been scheduled this month.

### City Avenue rezoning

When the board of commissioners voted to adopt a rezoning ordinance permitting significantly greater density of commercial uses in the prime commercial corridor in December, it did so with the understanding there might be amendments to the ordinance going into effect.

The latter specifically would cover existing condominiums (the 191 Building and the Corinthian), which sit on commercially zoned parcels.

Concerns about the impact from these buildings would push the potential location for the entertainment and recreation facilities closed to City Avenue.

In their discussion, planning-commission members of the same mind that the alterations would increase residential uses regardless of their zoning was too restrictive.

Another provision of the ordinance that has been mainly interpreted by the Neighborhood Club and of concern to other area residents is the same contentious issue in the City Avenue rezoning debate: the traffic impact of development. Concerned that road infrastructure would not keep pace with development, even with the traffic impact fee that the township has set, the civic association has looked for ways the problem could be addressed by other developer contributions.

It was unclear what these had to do with certain uses permitted in the new zoning districts, defined as indoor entertainment facilities, indoor family entertainment centers, and indoor recreational facilities. Neighborhood residents next to the commercial district wanted a buffer from those uses, which they said might attract crowds.

While some of the con-

cerns about recreation facilities have been tempered, a major point of difference in alternative amendments has been whether a separation requirement should extend from residential areas or from any existing recreation areas to where the ordinance goes into effect.

The latter, specifically, would cover existing condominiums (the 191 Building and the Corinthian), which sit on commercially zoned parcels.

Concerns about the impact from these buildings would push the potential location for the entertainment and recreation facilities closed to City Avenue.

In their discussion, planning-commission members of the same mind that the alterations would increase residential uses regardless of their zoning was too restrictive.

Another provision of the ordinance that has been mainly interpreted by the Neighborhood Club and of concern to other area residents is the same contentious issue in the City Avenue rezoning debate: the traffic impact of development. Concerned that road infrastructure would not keep pace with development, even with the traffic impact fee that the township has set, the civic association has looked for ways the problem could be addressed by other developer contributions.

A key part of the ordinance establishes density bonuses for certain amenities that developers could opt to provide; such

See PLANNERS, Page 18

## Moving on City Ave., O'Neill plans

By Cheryl Allison

additional mandate is piling on. "There are a lot of incentives to do the right thing," Gray suggested. Another prerequisite "might be overreaching."

Among other amendments, the commission supported one that increases a setback along part of the riverfront along Bala Plaza to preserve a wooded buffer. That has been another key issue for the Neighborhood Club.

In exchange, under the amendment, the building-height limit for the land the developer would be reduced from 120 to 150 feet, and potential density would be increased.

"A public hearing on the City Avenue ordinances will be April 25 at 6 p.m. at the Township Building. Planning-commission members tended to agree on